



UNITED STATES CARTMENT OF COMMERCE

Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
187786.988	01/23/9	7 LITTLE	D	U/18485-0012

IM41/1215

STEPHANIE L. SEIDMAN HELLER EHRMAN WHITE & MCAULIFFE 4250 EXECUTIVE SQUARE, 7TH FLOOR LA JOLLA CA 92037 EXAMINER LE, L

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

12/15/98

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

Office Action Summary

Application No. 08/786,988

Applicant(s)

Little et al.

Examiner

Long V. Le

Group Art Unit 1743

□ Responsive to communication(s) filed on Sep 21, 1998	
	·
☐ This action is FINAL.	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set to is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failure t application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Extension 37 CFR 1.136(a).	to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.
Claim(s)	
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
Application Papers	
☐ See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing	g Review, PTO-948.
The drawing(s) filed on is/are objec	•
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	
☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priority u	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
☐ All ☐ Some* ☐ None of the CERTIFIED copies of	
received.	
received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial Num	ber)
\square received in this national stage application from the I	international Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	
☐ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic priority	y under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Notice of References Cited, PTO-892	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper No.	o(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	
☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-94	8
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION ON TH	HE FOLLOWING PAGES

Application/Control Number: 08/786,988

Art Unit: 1743

DETAILED ACTION

1. The amended claim 36 is directed to an invention that is independent or distinct from the invention of original claims 1-35 and the added claims 40-90 for the following reasons:

Election/Restriction

- 2. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:
 - I. Claims 1-35 and 40-90, drawn to a method and apparatus for dispensing nanoliter volumes of a material onto a substrate, classified in class 436, subclass 180.
 - II. Claims 36-39, drawn to a substrate, classified in class 422, subclass 55.
- 3. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons: Inventions I and II are related as combination and subcombination. Inventions in this relationship are distinct if it can be shown that (1) the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed for patentability, and (2) that the subcombination has utility by itself or in other combinations (MPEP § 806.05(c)). In the instant case, the combination as claimed does not require the particulars of the subcombination as claimed because the method and apparatus for dispensing a material as recited in group I does not require the specific substrate of group II for patentability. The subcombination has separate utility such as a test paper for testing pH levels of a sample liquid.
- 4. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, and the search required for group I is not required for group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

5. A telephone call was made to Ms. Stephanie L. Seidman on December 08, 1998 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

- 6. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(I).
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Long V. Le whose telephone number is (703) 305-3399.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0651.

Long V. Le

Primary Patent Examiner, Group Art Unit 1743

December 8, 1998.